

Flatbands and Localization in Moiré Superlattice of Bilayer Photonic crystal

Dung Xuan Nguyen*

*Brown Theoretical Physics Center and Department of Physics,
Brown University, 182 Hope Street, Providence, Rhode Island 02912, USA*

Xavier Letartre, Emmanuel Drouard, and Pierre Viktorovitch

*Univ Lyon, Ecole Centrale de Lyon, CNRS, INSA Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1,
CPE Lyon, CNRS, INL, UMR5270, 69130 Ecully, France*

H. Chau Nguyen

Naturwissenschaftlich-Technische Fakultät, Universität Siegen, Walter-Flex-Straße 3, 57068 Siegen, Germany

Hai Son Nguyen†

*Univ Lyon, Ecole Centrale de Lyon, CNRS, INSA Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1,
CPE Lyon, CNRS, INL, UMR5270, 69130 Ecully, France and
Institut Universitaire de France (IUF)*

(Dated: May 11, 2021)

We investigate the physics of the photonic band structures of the moiré patterns that emerged when overlapping two mismatched period uni-dimensional (1D) photonic crystal slabs. The band structure of our system is a result of the interplay between intra-layer and inter-layer coupling mechanisms, which can be fine-tuned via the distance separating the two layers. We derive an effective Hamiltonian that captures the essential physics of the system and reproduces all numerical simulations of electromagnetic solutions with high accuracy. Most interestingly, *magic distances* corresponding to the emergence of photonic flat bands of the moiré lattice are observed. We demonstrate that these flat band modes at a magic distance are tightly localized within a moiré period. Moreover, we suggest a single-band tight-binding model that describes the moiré minibands, of which the tunnelling rate can be continuously tuned via the inter-layer strength. Our results show that the band structure of bilayer photonic moiré can be engineered in the same fashion as the electronic/excitonic counterparts. It would pave the way to study many-body physics at photonic moiré flat bands and novel optoelectronic devices.

I. INTRODUCTION

Physics of moiré structure has been of central interest in condensed matter physics and photonics during the last few years. One of the fascinating milestones is the discovery of *magic angles* in moiré patterns emerged from twisted bilayer graphene: when two mismatched graphene layers are overlapped at certain twisted angles, graphene Dirac cones will transform into flatbands[1–3]. This leads to many interesting physical phenomena such as superconductivity[4–6] and strongly correlating insulator states with nontrivial-topological properties[7, 8]. After discovering the superconducting phase in twisted bilayer graphene, “twistronic” (the combination of twist and electronic) gains substantial attention from the community both theoretically and experimentally [9–12]. This field is growing tremendously fast and is now extended to other types of bi-dimensional (2D) materials[13–15].

At the same time, the counterpart of peculiar electronic band structure such as Dirac cones and flat bands are also at the heart of intriguing optic effects: zero refractive index metamaterials making use of Dirac dispersion[16, 17], and unconventional light localization via flat band modes[18, 19]. Another striking example is the emergence of topological

photonics, inherited from the development of topological insulators in solid-state physics, promising novel optoelectronic devices in which the robustness of light propagation and lasing effect are topologically protected[20, 21]. Inspired by the electronic *magic angles*, Hu *et al.* have shown theoretically[22] and experimentally[23] the topological transition of photonic dispersion of polaritons or plasmonic excitations in twisted 2D materials: at photonic *magic angles*, the dispersion contour switches from open to closed form. However, the photonic modes in these pioneering works are in the mesoscopic scale with the wavelength much larger than the moiré period. As a matter of fact, the dispersion engineering is based on the anisotropy of an effective medium rather than the microscopic moiré pattern. On the other hand, Ye’s group has recently reported on the realization of 2D photonic moiré lattice[24]. Nevertheless, this work only focused on light scattering through the moiré pattern, but the lattice is on the same plane, and there is no bi-layer, neither twisting concepts. Most recently, Lou *et al.* has suggested a numerical method to investigate twisted bilayer photonic crystal slabs for arbitrary twist angles[25]. Although this work promises rich optical physics for photonic moiré, there still lacks a systematic study of the photonic bandstructure of moiré lattices. The analysis of a photonic analogy of *magic angles* in a twisted graphene system, where electronic band become flat and localized is still an open question.

In this work, we report on a theoretical study of photonic band structures in moiré patterns that emerged when two mis-

* dung_x_nguyen@brown.edu

† hai_son.nguyen@ec-lyon.fr

matched uni-dimensional (1D) photonic crystal slabs are overlapped. The band structure results from an interplay between intra-layer and inter-layer coupling mechanisms. The inter-layer coupling of our system can be finely tuned via the distance separating the two layers. We derive an effective four-component Hamiltonian that captures the essential physics of the system. To verify the analytical theory, we also perform numerical electromagnetic simulations with a case study of silicon structures operating at telecom wavelength. All of the simulation results are nicely reproduced by the analytical theory. Most interestingly, *magic distances* corresponding to the emergence of photonic flat bands of the moiré lattice are observed in analogy in twisted condensed matter systems. Further, we suggest that the minibands of moiré superlattice can be described by a single-band tight-binding model with Wannier function tightly confined to a moiré period. Our results show that the tunnelling rate of light between Wannier states of two moiré periods is continuously modulated by the inter-layer distance and vanished at *magic distance*, leading to the flat band formation and photonic localization. Despite its simplicity, this 1D setup captures much interesting physics of moiré systems which were predicted/demonstrated in twisted two-dimensional materials. Moreover, it is worth mentioning that the case study considered in this work can be easily fabricated via a standard nano-fabrication process and compatible with integrated photonic technology. Our findings suggest that moiré photonic is a promising strategy to engineer photonic bandstructure for fundamental research and optoelectronic devices.

II. MOIRÉ PATTERN IN 1D PHOTONIC LATTICE

Our system consists of two 1D photonic crystal slabs which are two subwavelength High refractive index Contrast Gratings (HCGs) (Fig 1a). The two HCGs have the same thickness h which is in the scale of half-wavelength, leading to single guided mode configuration. These two layers are separated by only a subwavelength distance L , suggesting an evanescent coupling between propagating light within each gratings. Importantly, the periods a_1 and a_2 of these gratings are slightly different but satisfy the commensurate condition $a_1 N_1 = a_2 N_2$, for some natural numbers $N_1, N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\text{gcd}(N_1, N_2) = 1$. When this condition is satisfied, the period of the system is given by $\Lambda = N_1 a_1 = N_2 a_2$. The supercell of the whole system thus consists of $N_1(N_2)$ periods of the upper(lower) grating. Importantly, the difference between $n_{\text{moiré}} = |N_1 - N_2|$ indicates the number of *moiré periods* (i.e., quasi-periodic patterns) within a single supercell [26]. Therefore, the moiré period a_M is in general smaller than the period Λ of the supercell $a_M = \Lambda/n_{\text{moiré}}$. In this work, we will focus on moiré configurations of: $N_2 = N_1 - 1 \equiv N$, in which case $n_{\text{moiré}} = 1$ and the moiré period coincides with the super-cell period. We note that most of the results derived here can be easily applied to an arbitrary commensurate configuration. Moreover, in the regime of $N \gg 1$, we can implement a *semi-continuous approach*: the two gratings are almost identical and the moiré pattern corresponds to a continuous shifting




Figure 1. (upper) Moiré configuration of bi-layer HCG with different lattice periods a_1 and a_2 satisfying $a_1/a_2 = N/(N+1)$ (in this sketch, $N = 9$). The two gratings are made from the same dielectric slab of thickness h and sharing the same filling fraction κ . The distance L separating two HCGs is much smaller than their periods which are in the subwavelength scale. (lower) Sketch of the bilayer photonic grating with intra-layer coupling U from the diffractive mechanism and inter-layer coupling V from the evanescent mechanism. The relative displacement δ_0 originates the phase inter-layer phase shift ϕ_0 between photonic modes.

function $\delta(x)$ of the upper grating with respect to the lower grating, given by $\delta(0 \leq x \leq \Lambda) = \frac{x}{N}$. The shifting δ sweeps an amount $a_0 = (a_1 + a_2)/2$ when x varies across a moiré period which now coincides with the supercell period.

The size of the mini Brillouin zone K_M is then the size of the moiré pattern in momentum space and is given by

$$K_M = K_1 - K_2, \quad (1)$$

where $K_1 = 2\pi/a_1$ and $K_2 = 2\pi/a_2$.

III. CONSTRUCTION OF THE MOIRÉ HAMILTONIAN

A. Hamiltonian of single layer photonic crystal

Let us start with considering a single-layer photonic lattice in the form of HCG with period a_0 . In perturbative coupled-mode theory, the photonic modes in such grating are constituted by the coupling between the forward $\varphi_+(k \geq 0)$ and the backward $\varphi_-(k \leq 0)$ propagating waves of the non-corrugated waveguide of effective refractive index [27]. Here the *wave-function* φ corresponds to the electric field E_y for TE modes, and the magnetic field H_y for TM modes. The dispersion characteristics $\omega_+(k \geq 0)$ and $\omega_-(k \leq 0)$ of these guided modes lie below the light-line and are obtained by solving the Maxwell equations of planar waveguide [28].

With a spatial period a_0 , the reciprocal lattice vector is given by $K_0 = \frac{2\pi}{a_0}$. Within the first Brillouin zone, the momentum points of high symmetry are the center 0 and the edges $\pm \frac{K_0}{2}$, which are referred to as Γ and X . The periodic corrugation is described by a periodic potential $U_D(x) = \sum_l U_l \cos(lK_0x)$ [29] which represents the diffractive coupling between forward and backward waves with comparable energies [27]. This results in the dispersion relation of free light folded into the first Brillouin zone and with gaps opening at the highly symmetric points, much the same way as the nearly-free electron model in condensed state physics [30]. In the following, we focus on the energy range in the vicinity of the lowest gap at the X point. This gap is of value $2U_1$, which we drop the subscript '1' for the sake of simplicity. Near this X point, the band structure is described by the Hamiltonian

$$H_{\text{single}}(q) = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_0 + vq & U \\ U & \omega_0 - vq \end{pmatrix} \quad (2)$$

in which the diagonal terms correspond to the linear approximations of $\omega_{\pm}(\pm \frac{K_0}{2} + q)$ with $q \ll K_0$. Here ω_0 and v are the pulsation and the group velocity at $\pm \frac{K_0}{2}$. The corresponding Hamiltonian in the real space, $H_{\text{single}}(x)$, is easily obtained by the replacement $q \rightarrow -i\partial_x$ [31].

B. Hamiltonian of Bilayer photonic crystal

To understand the inter-layer coupling mechanisms, an intuitive and informative example is the configuration of bilayer photonic lattice, referred to as the ‘‘fish-bone’’ structure in Ref [32]. Such a configuration consists of two identical gratings, one on top of the other with a relative displacement δ_0 (Fig 1). Two special configurations of $\delta_0/a_0 = 0$ and 0.5 are respectively the equivalent of *AA* and *AB* stackings in Bilayer Graphene structure [33]. The origin of the inter-layer coupling is the evanescent connection of strength V between propagating waves of the same wavevector but from separated layers. Moreover, in the vicinity of $\pm \frac{K_0}{2}$, a relative displacement δ_0 would induce a phase-shift $\phi_0 = K_0\delta_0/2$ between these co-propagating waves. Therefore, the Hamiltonian of Bilayer photonic lattice in real or momentum space is given respectively by:

$$H_{\text{bilayer}} = \begin{pmatrix} H_{\text{single}} & T_0 \\ T_0^\dagger & H_{\text{single}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3)$$

with $T_0 = \begin{pmatrix} Ve^{-i\phi_0} & 0 \\ 0 & Ve^{i\phi_0} \end{pmatrix}$ describing the inter-layer tunneling. In this case, the inter-layer coupling elements T_0 has no spatial dependence, thus have the same form in real space and momentum space representations.

C. Hamiltonian of moiré photonic crystal

The moiré lattice is obtained from the bilayer lattice by introducing a slight period mismatch: the period of the upper grating is shrunken from a_0 to a_1 and the period of the lower

one is stretched from a_0 to a_2 . This configuration leads to a modulated relative displacement $\delta(x)$ with respect to the coordinate x . In the limit $N \gg 1$, the moiré pattern is a period of a superlattice made of bilayer structures varying continuously from *AA* stacking to *AB* stacking. As discussed previously, the period mismatch leads to a Brillouin zone mismatch. It can be demonstrated that the phase-shift between co-propagating waves of different layers now depends on the coordinate x [31],

$$\phi(x) = \left(\frac{K_1}{2} - \frac{K_2}{2} \right) x = \frac{K_M x}{2}. \quad (4)$$

As a consequence, the inter-layer coupling Hamiltonian would depend on x as $T(x) = e^{-i\phi(x)}T_1 + e^{i\phi(x)}T_2$ with $T_1 = \begin{pmatrix} V & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $T_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & V \end{pmatrix}$. Such decomposition shows two types of inter-layer coupling in momentum space: i) The positive mode with effective momentum q in the upper layer couples to the positive mode with effective momentum $q + \frac{K_M}{2}$ in the lower layer via T_1 ; ii) The negative mode with effective momentum q in the upper layer couples to the negative mode with effective momentum $q - \frac{K_M}{2}$ in the lower layer via T_2 . Finally, the moiré Hamiltonian in real space is given by

$$H_{\text{moiré}}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} H_{\text{single}}^{(1)}(x) & T(x) \\ T(x)^\dagger & H_{\text{single}}^{(2)}(x) \end{pmatrix} \quad (5)$$

in which $H_{\text{single}}^{(1)}(x)$ and $H_{\text{single}}^{(2)}(x)$ are respectively the Hamiltonian of single layer corresponding to upper and lower grating, given by $H_{\text{single}}^{(1)}(x) = \omega_0^{(1)} + \begin{pmatrix} -iv\partial_x & U^{(1)} \\ U^{(1)} & iv\partial_x \end{pmatrix}$ and $H_{\text{single}}^{(2)}(x) = \omega_0^{(2)} + \begin{pmatrix} -iv\partial_x & U^{(2)} \\ U^{(2)} & iv\partial_x \end{pmatrix}$. Here the difference of period would lead to a slight difference of values of the offset ω_0 and the intra-layer coupling strength U for each grating. We note that our construction of moiré Hamiltonian neglects the radiative losses from the leaking of guided modes to the radiative continuum. The construction of moiré Hamiltonian in the momentum space is discussed briefly in the Appendix A.

In the following, we will analyse in detail the qualitative properties of the effective Hamiltonian (5), starting with a dimensional analysis to single out the relevant physical parameters, then analyzing the symmetry of the Hamiltonian. In particular, the consequences of the somewhat special translational symmetry of the Hamiltonian will be discussed.

D. Qualitative analysis of the effective Hamiltonian

1. Dimensional analysis and simplified model

Let us notice that when a time scale (or equivalently energy, or frequency, scale) is fixed, one is still free to choose a length scale in the Hamiltonian (5). To fix a length scale, one can set $v = 1$.

Since one can choose an arbitrary reference value for the energy, clearly the absolute values of $\omega_0^{(1)}$ and $\omega_0^{(2)}$ are not important. It is however crucial that they are different to separate

the energy bands of the two uncoupled layers from each other. We thus can substitute $\omega_0^{(1)} \rightarrow \Delta$, $\omega_0^{(2)} \rightarrow -\Delta$ for the qualitative consideration, i.e., choosing the zero-energy to be $\omega_{00} = (\omega_0^{(1)} + \omega_0^{(2)})/2$. Furthermore, let $U = (U^{(1)} + U^{(2)})/2$ and $\Delta_U = (U^{(1)} - U^{(2)})/2$. We then have the simplified Hamiltonian as

$$\begin{aligned} H = & -i\partial_x(\mathbb{I} \otimes \sigma_z) + \Delta(\sigma_z \otimes \mathbb{I}) + U(\mathbb{I} \otimes \sigma_x) \\ & + V(\sigma_+ \otimes e^{-iq_0x\sigma_z} + \sigma_- \otimes e^{+iq_0x\sigma_z}) \\ & + \Delta_U \sigma_z \otimes \sigma_x, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where the characteristic wavevector is $q_0 = K_M/2$ with K_M is the moiré wavevector. Here \otimes denotes the Kronecker product, $\sigma_{x,y,z}$ are Pauli matrices defined by $\sigma_x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $\sigma_y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $\sigma_z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$, and $\sigma_{\pm} = (\sigma_x \pm i\sigma_y)/2$.

The last term in Hamiltonian (6) only leads to minor quantitative corrections; for qualitative analysis, one can set $\Delta_U = 0$. We see then that the equation (6) is characterised by parameters (U, Δ, q_0, V) . All of these quantities have the same dimension of energy (since $v = 1$). One can effectively set one of them, e.g., U , to be the unit.

Moreover, when we specialise to the particular realisation of the effective Hamiltonian (6), as in Appendix C 1, we see that the parameters $\Delta = \omega^{(1)} - \omega^{(2)}$, $\Delta_U = U^{(1)} - U^{(2)}$ and $q_0 = \frac{K_M}{2}$ are in fact physically dependent through the straining parameter in the system. In this case, we therefore

only have three independent physical parameters (U, q_0, V) . The model is specified by two dimensionless ratios between the independent parameters.

2. Periodicity and the Bloch Hamiltonian

It is perhaps surprising when one notices that the Hamiltonian (5) or (6) seem to be periodic with the double supercell period $2\pi/q_0 = 2\Lambda$, which we refer to as *apparent period*. Accordingly, naively solving these Hamiltonian one obtains a band structure with the *apparent Brillouin zone* of size $K_M/2$.

The Hamiltonian is in fact of higher translational symmetry. Indeed, let T_Λ be the translation operator of one moiré period. Then one can easily verify that [34] the Hamiltonian is invariant under the generalized translational operator $T_\Lambda(\sigma_z \otimes \mathbb{I})$. The operator $T_\Lambda(\sigma_z \otimes \mathbb{I})$ generates the commutative group of generalised translational operators, under which the Hamiltonian is invariant. This shows that the *actual period* of the system is, not surprisingly, the moiré period Λ .

With the apparent period of 2Λ , the Bloch theorem states that we can assume the eigenstate of the Hamiltonian (6) to be of the form

$$\Psi(x) = e^{iqx} u_q(x), \quad (7)$$

where q is the moiré Bloch vector, $-q_0/2 \leq q \leq +q_0/2$ and the four-spinor $u_q(x)$ is periodic with the apparent period 2Λ . This leads to the Bloch Hamiltonian for $u_q(x)$,

$$H_q = \begin{pmatrix} -i\partial_x + q + \Delta & U^{(1)} & V e^{-iq_0x} & 0 \\ U^{(1)} & +i\partial_x - q + \Delta & 0 & V e^{+iq_0x} \\ V e^{+iq_0x} & 0 & -i\partial_x + q - \Delta & U^{(2)} \\ 0 & V e^{-iq_0x} & U^{(2)} & +i\partial_x - q - \Delta \end{pmatrix}. \quad (8)$$

This Hamiltonian is to be solved for eigenvalues E_q with periodic eigenstates $u_q(x)$, where the latter is also denoted by $u_{E,q}(x)$ when the explicit energy value is necessary for the clarity. The periodicity of the Bloch wavefunction $u_q(x)$ allows for the solution of the eigenvalue problem to be found through Fourier expansion.

It is important to emphasize again that when using the apparent period 2Λ of the Hamiltonian to calculate the band structure, the Bloch momentum q in equation (8) is folded within $[-q_0/2, q_0/2]$. In order to unfold the band to the full moiré Brillouin zone $[-q_0, q_0]$, one simply solves the Bloch Hamiltonian for q in the full moiré Brillouin zone, but maintains only solutions that satisfy the generalised Bloch theorem $T_\Lambda(\sigma_z \otimes \mathbb{I})\Psi(x) = e^{iqx}\Psi(x)$. In this way, the unfolded band structure such as in Fig. 2 can be obtained.

3. Symmetry analysis

Since the moiré system has spatial reflection and time-reversal symmetries, one expects that the Hamiltonian (6) also carries these symmetries. This is indeed the case.

–Spatial reflection–: Consider the reflection along the x -axis. Let P denote the pure spatial coordinate reflection operator. Since the reflection of the x -axis also changes the signs of the momenta within each chain, it also exchanges the two basis wavefunctions chosen in Section A 3 b. Therefore one can expect that the full reflection operator to be $(\mathbb{I} \otimes \sigma_x)P$. One can easily verify that the Hamiltonian (6) is indeed invariant under this full reflection operator $(\mathbb{I} \otimes \sigma_x)P$. As also expected, the spatial reflection $(\mathbb{I} \otimes \sigma_x)P$ brings the Bloch Hamiltonian H_q in Eq. (8), to H_{-q} , implying the energy bands are symmetric under reflecting the Bloch wavevector, $E_q = E_{-q}$, and the Bloch wave functions obey $u_{E,q}(x) = u_{E,-q}(-x)$.

–Time reversal–: Let K be the complex conjugation. One can verify that the Hamiltonian (6) is invariant under the full time reversal operator $(\mathbb{I} \otimes \sigma_x)K$. Again the time reversal operator brings H_q to H_{-q} , implying the energy bands are symmetric under reflecting the wavevector, $E_q = E_{-q}$, and the Bloch wave functions obey $u_{E,q}(x) = u_{E,-q}^*(x)$.

IV. BAND DISPERSIONS OF MOIRÉ LATTICE

A. Engineering moiré minibands and emergence of flatbands at magic distances

1. Design of the case study

The energy-momentum dispersion of moiré lattice is calculated numerically using Rigorous Coupled-Wave Analysis (RCWA) method [35–37]. As a design for numerical studies, the gratings are made of $h = 180 \text{ nm}$ -thick silicon slabs (refractive index $n = 3.54$) which are patterned into 1D lattices of period $a_1 = \frac{2N}{2N+1}a_0$ and $a_2 = \frac{2N+2}{2N+1}a_0$. We fix the average period $a_0 = 300 \text{ nm}$ and the filling fraction $\kappa=0.8$. For each moiré pattern (i.e., a given value of N), the distance $L \ll a_0$ between the two gratings is the tuning parameter for engineering the photonic band structure. We note that the photonic modes of uncoupled single layers are located below the light-line and cannot be excited by incoming plane waves. Hence the inter-layer coupling mechanisms, if not strong enough, would not be able to make these modes accessible for RCWA simulation. To resolve this issue, similar to Ref. [32], a double period perturbation of 10% is implemented for the design of each grating. The structure in RCWA simulation has a 2Λ period consisting of two moiré patterns. One is a shrunken moiré pattern of period 0.9Λ , and the other one is a dilated moiré pattern of period 1.1Λ . In this configuration, the photonic band structure is obtained from the simulation of angle-resolved absorption when introducing an artificial extinction coefficient of 0.00001 for the grating material.

2. Dispersion engineering: Numerical simulations versus Analytical calculations

The numerical results of band structures of moiré design corresponding to $N = 13$ when increasing the separation distance L are presented in figures 2a-e. As shown in Fig 2e, the band structure is simply the folding of single layer dispersions

B. “Magic” configurations

To gain further insights on the flat band formation, a reliable figure of merit to evaluate the flatness of moiré minibands is required. An adequate choice of such a figure of merit is the bandwidth of the bands, normalized by the size of the Brillouin zone. Since the dispersion characteristic of moiré bands is nearly always a monotonic function when going from Γ (i.e. $k = 0$) to X (i.e. $k = \pm K_M/2$), and reaches its extrema at

when L is comparable to a_0 . It suggests that the inter-layer coupling mechanism is negligible with respect to the intra-layer ones (i.e. $V \ll U$) for $L \gtrsim a_0$. In this configuration, a bandgap, purely due to the intra-layer coupling mechanism, is observed in the energy range (see Fig 2e). The band structure expresses an approximate particle-hole symmetry as explained in Appendix III D 3. In analogy to semiconductor terminology, we refer to these upper/lower bands as *conduction-like/valence-like*. When $L \lesssim a_0$, the band hybridization due to the inter-layer coupling results in the formation of a pair of particle-hole minibands, referred to as electron-like/hole-like moiré band. (see Figs 2a-d). These two bands emerge within the bandgap of uncoupled layers and are well separated to the conduction/valence-like continuum. *In the following, we will pay particular attention to the behavior of only these two bands when tuning the inter-layer interaction and refer to them as ‘the bands’.* One may note that with the choice of $a_0 = 300 \text{ nm}$, the spectral range of the two moiré bands is in the telecom (i.e. $\sim 1.5 \mu\text{m}$).

Intriguingly, there are some specific values of L at which the bandwidth of these bands becomes almost zero, and these moiré bands are nearly perfectly flat. Figures 2b and 2c depict the band structures with flat hole-like moiré band, and almost-flat electron-like band. Inspired by the analogy with the appearance of flat bands at magic angles in twisted bilayer graphene [2], we called these values *magic distances*.

The moiré band structure is calculated using the Hamiltonian model given by Eq. (5), taking as v , U , ω_0 and V as input parameters. These parameters are retrieved from the simulation of single and bilayer lattice as explained in the Appendix C. Figures 2f-j depict the band structures obtained by analytical calculations. These results reproduce quantitatively the numerical results presented in Figs 2a-e, showing the emergence of moiré states within the bandgap and their flattening at magic distances. Noticeably, there is a slight difference between numerical and analytical results: the RCWA simulations suggest that the flattening of the electron-like band always takes place at a slightly smaller distance L than the one of the hole-like band, while the Hamiltonian model predicts that both bands become flat almost simultaneously. We notice that for small values of L (i.e. strong inter-layer coupling), other minibands start leaving the continuum for the gap and eventually become flat bands for the particular value of L . However, in this work, we only investigate the flat band formation of the two lowest energy minibands currently discussed.

these points, the normalized bandwidth ϵ can be estimated by:

$$\epsilon = \frac{|\omega_X - \omega_\Gamma|}{K_M/2} \quad (9)$$

We note that due to the double period perturbation, the bandwidth $|\omega_X - \omega_\Gamma|$ is the splitting at Γ point in RCWA simulations shown in Figs. 2a-d.

Figures 3a-c depict the bandwidth ϵ of the hole-like moiré band of different moiré lattice ($N = 9, 13$ and 19) when scanning L obtained by the numerical simulation and the solu-




Figure 2. (a-e) Band structures of Moiré lattice with different distance L , obtained by RCWA simulations. Flat bands are indicated by green arrows. The design for the simulation uses silicon ($n = 3.54$) as the grating material. The two gratings have the same thickness $h = 180 \text{ nm}$ and filling fraction $\kappa = 0.8$. The average period $a_0 = (a_1 + a_2)/2 = 300 \text{ nm}$ and the moiré size is given by $N = 13$. (f-i) Calculations using the effective Hamiltonian of band structures shown in (a-e). To compare with the RCWA simulations in which a double period perturbation has been implemented (the real period becomes 2Λ , dash-lines have been added, indicating the folding of the band structure at $k/K_M = p + 1/2$ with $p \in \mathbb{Z}$). The parameters v, U, ω_0 are extracted from the simulation of Single layer band dispersion; then the inter-layer coupling strength V is extracted from the simulation of Bilayer band dispersion. Numerically, the parameters in these calculations are: $v = 0.3c$, $U(a) = U_0 [1 - 0.85(a/a_0 - 1)]$, $V(L) = V_0 e^{-L/L_0}$ and $\omega_0(a) = \Omega_0 [1 - 0.76(a/a_0 - 1)]$; with c is the speed of light, $U_0 a_0/2\pi c = 0.019$, $V_0 a_0/2\pi c = 0.032$, $L_0/a_0 = 0.34$ and $\Omega_0 a_0/2\pi c = 0.207$.

tion to the effective model. These results confirm the existence of magic distances, corresponding to the vanishings of ϵ . All of the analytical calculations are obtained with the same set of parameters that are previously presented. We highlight that the Hamiltonian model provides almost perfectly both the number of magic distances and its values.

For each moiré lattice (i.e. a given N), our design exhibits two adjustable parameters: *i*) The distance L for tuning the inter-layer coupling V . Here $V = V_0$ when $L = 0$ and decreasing exponentially when increasing L , given by $V = V_0 e^{-L/L_0}$ (see Appendix C2); *ii*) The filling fraction κ , defined in Fig.1, for tuning the intra-layer coupling U . Here $U = 0$ when $\kappa = 1$ and increasing when decreasing κ (see Appendix C1). Up to this point, we have been investigating flat band emergence at magic distances by scanning L while fixing $\kappa = 0.8$ (i.e. $U = U_0$). However, the

direct parameters of the Hamiltonian are U and V . Thus one may expect that *magic configurations* (i.e. of flat moiré bands) result from particular interplays between inter-layer and intra-layer coupling mechanisms, which is very similar to the origin of magic angles in twisted bilayer graphene. To investigate such interplays, the band-edge energies (at Γ and X points) of electron-like and hole-like moiré bands are extracted from effective Hamiltonian calculations when scanning the ratio V/U for different moiré configurations with fixed value of $U = U_0$. The results depicted in Figs 3d-f evidence two important features. First, the two moiré bands get closer when increasing V/U , as previously discussed when scanning L (Fig 2). Interestingly, the gap between them is closed, and they merge together when $V/U \gtrsim 2$ for all value of N . Indeed, the bandgap when the two gratings are slightly different (i.e. $N \gg 1$) and uncoupled (i.e. $V \ll U$) is given by the gap of a single grat-




Figure 3. (a-c) The normalized bandwidth ϵ of the hole-like moiré band as a function of distance L for different moiré structures: (a) $N = 9$, (b) $N = 13$, (c) $N = 19$. Blue circles are results obtained from RCWA simulations. Black lines are analytical calculations. (d-f) Results from the effective Hamiltonian of the band-edge energies (at Γ and X points of electron-like and hole-like moiré band) when scanning V/U for different moiré structures: (d) $N = 9$, (e) $N = 13$, (f) $N = 19$. The vertical black dashed line indicates the value of V/U corresponding to $L = 0$. The green arrows indicate flat band configurations. (g) The normalized bandwidth ϵ of the hole-like moiré band as a function of V/U and N , with $U = U_0$. The dashed lines are plotted using the empirical law given by Eq. (10).

ing, thus amounts to $2U$. When the interlayer layer coupling V is implemented, the two moirés bands emerge and are separated to the corresponding continuum by a quantity $\sim V$. Thus they would merge at the zero energy when $V \sim U$. This feature is not revealed from the numerical simulation since the

maximum value of V/U from our design is 1.76 (i.e. $L = 0$ and $\kappa = 0.8$). Second, the magic configuration takes place at the crossings of band edge energies from the same miniband when tuning V/U (indicated by green arrows in Figs 3d-f).




Figure 4. (a) Wannier function of the hole-like moiré band when scanning the V/U ratio. (b) Sketch of tight-binding model of the hole-like moiré band (the sketch for electron-like band is similar but vertically flipped).




Figure 5. (a) Sketch of a hole-like “diatomic molecule” made of two moiré cells (the sketch for electron-like molecule is similar but vertically flipped). The inter-cell tunnelling rate J gives rise to the even (bonding) and odd (anti-bonding) bound states with opposite parity with respect to the lateral mirror symmetry $x \rightarrow -x$. Here, the energy order of even and odd states corresponds to the case $J > 0$. (b,c) The field distribution of the two hole-like bound states obtained by FDTD simulations. (d) The energy splitting between the two bound states is a function of the distance L . Red circles are results obtained from FDTD simulations, and the solid black line is the result obtained from the effective Hamiltonian model. The moiré design for the numerical simulations is the same as the one in Figs. 2a-e.

A complete picture of magic configuration is captured when varying both V/U (i.e. competition between inter versus intralayer coupling) and N (i.e. moiré pattern). Figure 3g presents the normalized bandwidth ϵ when scanning N and V/U within a reasonable range [38]. The observed “resonant dips” correspond to different magic configurations. Recall from the dimensional analysis discussion in Sec III D 1 that the physics of the system can be characterised by two dimensionless ratios V/U , and $U/K_M \sim NU$ when setting $v = 1$. Fitting the resonances of Fig. 3g by a power law, we obtain a very simple empirical relation between these two dimensionless parameters, which can be written as:

$$N_m U = m \times \eta \times \left(\frac{V}{U}\right)^\gamma, \quad m = 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (10)$$

with the $\gamma \approx -1.42$, $\eta \approx 12U_0$, and m is the *counting order* of the magic configuration as the coupling ratio V/U increases from 0.5. It is important to point out that N is the “moiré parameter” in our system, playing the same role as the *twist angle* in twisted bilayer graphene (each value of moiré parameter defines a moiré pattern)[39, 40]. Therefore, the good metric for magic configurations is the *magic number* N_m , and Eq. (10) provides the design rule to achieve them. Fixing $U = U_0$ in Eq. (10) allows us to study the relation between N_m and the interlayer coupling V at magic configuration. The analogy and similitude between this law and the one for magic angles in twisted bi-layer graphene [2] are striking. The dependence of the magic moiré parameter N_m on the magic index m is extremely simple, we expect an appealing interpretation for this simple relation. In our photonic slabs set up, we can modify the moiré geometrical configurations

by changing N . We can also independently tune the inter-layer coupling parameter V or the intra-layer coupling one U . Consequently, we can achieve moiré flat bands by both magic distances (magic values of V/U) with a given N and fixed filling fraction κ , or magic fractions (magic values of U) with given N and distance L , or magic moiré parameters N_m at a fixed distance and filling fraction. The three magic configuration conditions unify in Eq. (10).

V. LOCALIZATION OF MOIRÉ STATES AT MAGIC CONFIGURATIONS

The photonic flat band states give rise to a new regime of light localization [24, 41, 42] other than the conventional Anderson localization [24, 43, 44]. The similar localization mechanisms for electronic counterpart has been reported for flat bands of bilayer systems [45–49]. In this section, the localization of light at magic configurations will be investigated by both the effective model and numerical simulations.

A. Single-band Tight-binding interpretation for moiré states

A closer look at the two moiré bands (as specified in Section IV) in Figs. 2 reveals that the dispersion characteristic of these minibands is nearly single harmonic functions. This is confirmed by the dominance of the first Fourier component with respect to higher-order ones when performing Fourier transform on the moiré band dispersions. Consequently, this

suggests that each moiré band may be described by a textbook single-band tight-binding model with only a few nearest neighbour couplings taken into account.

As the Wannier functions are the natural basis for the tight-binding model [30], it is of interest to compute the Wannier functions for the band under consideration. In particular, the localization of the Wannier function is of practical interest. For such a one-dimensional system, the maximally localized Wannier functions of moiré bands can be calculated using the *twisted parallel transport gauge* [50]. Figure 4 depicts the result of this calculation when scanning the ratio V/U from 0.5 to 2. Around the parameter range, it shows that more than 94% of the Wannier density is located within a single moiré cell. Such a concentration confirms the use of this Wannier function as a pseudo-orbital wave function for the tight-binding model with nearest neighbour couplings. However, it is important to stress that the high concentration of the Wannier function is not necessarily related to the formation of the flat bands, as discussed in Appendix B 2. In particular, it is incorrect to infer that tunnelling of light from a moiré period (which is not in a Wannier state) to the next is completely forbidden at a flat band.

Yet, the physics of the moiré bands can be captured quite well by a simple tight-binding scheme in the Wannier basis (see Fig. 4b). In this scenario, the moiré superlattice engenders a periodic potential landscape with minima at AA sites. Trapped photons in the Wannier states corresponding to each moiré period can tunnel to the next one with tunnelling rate J , and the band dispersion is given by:

$$\omega_{\text{moiré-band}}^{e(h)}(k) = \omega_{\text{offset}}^{e(h)} + 2J \cos\left(\frac{k}{K_M}\right), \quad (11)$$

with $\omega_{\text{offset}}^{e(h)}$ is the offset location of the electron(hole)-like moiré band. Here $\omega_{\text{offset}}^{e(h)}$ and J are both non-trivial functions of N and V/U . However, the results discussed in the previous section show that $\omega_{\text{offset}}^e - \omega_{\text{offset}}^h$ decreases when increasing V/U from small value such as 0.5, then becomes zero when $V/U > 2$. Most importantly, when the couple $(NU, V/U)$ satisfies the Eq. (10) of magic configurations, the only way to obtain dispersionless bands from (11) is that the tunnelling rate J becomes zero. We notice a resemblance of the flat band emergence in our system compared to the one in twisted bilayer graphene system [2, 51, 52]: both correspond to the good localization at the AA sites.

B. Bound states and Tunneling rate in finite structures

Keeping in mind the ability to localize light to a moiré period with high quality (albeit non-perfect), we investigate a much simpler problem: a “diatomic molecule” made of two moiré cells (see Fig. 5a). The simulated/calculated results clearly demonstrate the splitting and merging between the even(i.e. bonding) and the odd(i.e. antibonding) bound states. For the calculation using the effective Hamiltonian model, the boundary condition is chosen, so that outside of the moiré period (i.e. $|\frac{x}{\Lambda}| > 1$) is bilayer structure of AB

sites. The bound states are obtained by the transfer matrix method [53, 54]. Details of the calculation are described in Appendix B 4. On the other hand, in the numerical simulations, the structure consists of two moiré cells and surrounded by air. The photonic bound state is obtained numerically by performing Finite-difference time-domain (FDTD) simulation (commercial software FullWAVE from Rsoft suite). The chosen moiré design is the same as the one in RCWA simulations for band structures (Figs. 2a-e) with $N = 13$ and $\kappa = 0.8$.

Figures 5b,c depicts the field distribution of the hole-like bound states. We distinguish clearly the even (Fig. 5b) and odd (Fig. 5c) parity corresponding to the lateral mirror symmetry $x \rightarrow -x$. The splitting between the energy of these bound states when scanning the distance L is presented in Fig. 5d. Again, the results from the analytical model and numerical simulations show a very good agreement. Notably, these results demonstrate that the two bound states degenerate and then separate at the magic distances of the moiré lattice extracted from Fig. 3b. Consequently, it supports that the tunnelling rate J changes sign when scanning L across a magic distance value and vanishes when L takes a magic distance value.

VI. CONCLUSION AND OUTLOOK

In conclusion, we have derived an effective analytical Hamiltonian of 1D moiré bilayer photonic crystal. This Hamiltonian not only shares a similar form as the one of twisted bilayer graphene derived by Bistritzer and MacDonald in Ref [1, 2] but also exhibits analogous intriguing physics. We analyze the band structure of the optical eigenmodes in the moiré setups. We obtain the magic configurations with flat bands where some eigenmodes are dispersionless. The band structures calculated by the effective Hamiltonian are in very good agreement with the RCWA electromagnetic simulations. We highlight that the flat bands can be achieved in our physical system by geometrically tuning either the moiré configuration or the interlayer coupling strength. These two mechanisms are similar to the ones of twisted bilayer graphene in which the electronic flat band can be obtained by changing either the moiré pattern (via the twisted angle) or the interlayer coupling (via the application of a uniaxial pressure) [55, 56]. Moreover, while the intra-layer mechanism in graphene structures cannot be modified, our photonic platform also offers the possibility to greatly tune this parameter. We found that the conditions for flat bands unify to a nontrivial relation between the *counting order* of the magic configuration m and the magic moiré configuration, given by $N_m \sim m$.

We also investigate the physical properties of the flat bands (at magic configurations). We proposed a simple tight-binding model to capture the physics of the moiré flat bands, indicating a localization of photonic states within a single moiré period. Our results show that the flat band happens when the tunnelling rate between Wannier functions localized in moiré unit cells vanishes. We verify the validity of the tight-binding model self-consistently using different simulation and numerical approaches.

For a future perspective, if nonlinearity is implemented in the system, for example, by using Kerr nonlinearity [57] or exciton-polariton platform [58], it may be possible to investigate the strongly correlated flat band physics [59–61] of photonic modes with moiré pattern. Indeed, since photonic modes have no dispersion in the magic configurations, interactions will determine the emergent physics near the frequency of the flat bands. It would uncover intriguing phases of 1D strongly correlated matters [62].

Furthermore, in the setups considered in this paper, the ratio $V/U < 2$. If one changes the thickness h of optical slabs in Fig 1, or the refractive index of the surrounding medium, it would be possible to obtain the regime $V/U \geq 2$ where the electron band and hole band merge together, as one observed in Fig 3. As a consequence, it leads to a $SU(2)$ emergent symmetry of which the emergent eigenstates can be any linear combination of electron-like and hole-like states at the same momentum.

The physical moiré system that we investigated in this paper has promising applications in optoelectronic devices. The case study design in this work uses silicon as dielectric material and operates in the telecom range ($1.5 \mu\text{m}$). Such devices can be fabricated via standard nanofabrication processes such as electron beam lithography and plasma-assisted etching [32, 63, 64]. The high sensitivity of dispersion band structure to the refractive index of surrounding medium (which determines the parameter U) and spacing medium (which determines the parameter V) can be harnessed for applications in sensing. Furthermore, the localization of

light within the moiré period also suggests a unique way to engineer lattice of resonators of a high-quality factor for a phase-locked micro-laser array or high Purcell factor for tailoring spontaneous emission of quantum emitters. We notice that the design can be directly transpose to 1D integrated nano-photonic wave-guide grating structures by implementing a light confinement along y-axis. Finally, another realization scheme is with fiber Bragg gratings [65] with dual-core [66, 67]. The fabrication of such structure can be easily implemented with current technology using optical writing [65, 68, 69]. This direction is particularly promising to study soliton physics arising from photonic nonlinearity [66, 67, 70] which will be greatly enhanced at flat band configurations.

Acknowledgement: The authors thank Stephen Carr, Nguyen Viet Hung, and Steven H. Simon for fruitful discussions. The work is partly funded by the French National Research Agency (ANR) under the project POPEYE (ANR-17-CE24-0020) and the IDEXLYON from Université de Lyon, Scientific Breakthrough project TORE within the Programme Investissements d’Avenir (ANR-19-IDEX-0005). DXN was supported by Brown Theoretical Physics Center. HCN was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation, project numbers 447948357 and 440958198), the Sino-German Center for Research Promotion (Project M-0294), and the ERC (Consolidator Grant 683107/TempoQ). RCWA simulations were performed on the CNRS/IN2P3 Computing Center in Lyon.

Appendix A: Ab initio derivation of Moiré lattice Hamiltonian

In this Appendix, we provide the detailed derivation of the effective Hamiltonian in the main text.

1. Hamiltonian of a single grating wave-guide

a. Wave function a single grating wave-guide

In perturbation theory, the photonic modes in such grating are constituted by the coupling between forward $\varphi_+(k \geq 0)$ and backward $\varphi_-(k \leq 0)$ propagating waves of the non-corrugated waveguide of effective refractive index (see Fig 6a). Here the *wave-function* φ corresponds to the electric field E_y for TE modes, and the magnetic field H_y for TM modes. The dispersion characteristic $\omega_+(k \geq 0)$ and $\omega_-(k \leq 0)$, $\omega_+(k) = \omega_-(-k)$, of these guided modes lies below the light-line (see Fig 6b) and are obtained by solving Maxwell equations of planar waveguide with effective refractive index. We can extend the definition of positive and negative wavefunctions for any k value by replacing $\varphi_{\pm}(k)$ by $\Phi_{\pm}(k)$, given by:

$$\Phi_{\pm}(k) = \Theta(\pm k)\varphi_{\pm}(k), \quad (\text{A1})$$

where Θ is the Heaviside function, $\theta(x) = 1$ if $x \geq 0$ and $\theta(x) = 0$ if $x < 0$. With such definition, the spatial wave-function $\Phi_{\pm}(x)$ of positive and negative modes is obtained by the Fourier transform of $\Phi_{\pm}(k)$:

$$\Phi_{\pm}(x) = \int \frac{dk}{2\pi} \Phi_{\pm}(k) e^{ikx}. \quad (\text{A2})$$

With a spatial period a , the reciprocal lattice vector is given by $K_0 = \frac{2\pi}{a_0}$. High symmetry points in the momentum space are at wavevectors $\frac{lK_0}{2}$ with $l \in \mathbb{Z}$. A given odd(even) value of l corresponds to a $X(\Gamma)$ point of the BZs. The *effective* wave-functions

of positive (negative mode) near the high symmetry point $\frac{lK_0}{2}$ ($-\frac{lK_0}{2}$) are defined by:

$$\Phi_{l,\pm}(q) = \Phi_{\pm} \left(\pm \frac{lK_0}{2} + q \right), \quad q \in \left[-\frac{K_0}{4}, \frac{K_0}{4} \right], \quad (\text{A3})$$

and

$$\Phi_{l,\pm}(x) = \int_{-\frac{K_0}{4}}^{\frac{K_0}{4}} \frac{dq}{2\pi} \Phi_{l,\pm}(q) e^{iqx}. \quad (\text{A4})$$

We verify easily the relation between $\Phi_{\pm}(x)$ and $\Phi_{l,\pm}(x)$, given by:

$$\Phi_{\pm}(x) = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{\pm i \frac{lK_0}{2} x} \Phi_{l,\pm}(x) \quad (\text{A5})$$

Since band structures are mostly studied in the vicinity of a high symmetry point of the BZs, the most appropriate basis in real space and momentum space given by:

$$\Psi_l(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_{l,+}(x) \\ \Phi_{l,-}(x) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{A6})$$

Note that due to the fact that positive mode has positive wave-vectors and the negative mode has negative wave-vectors, only $l \in \mathbb{N}^*$ appears in the definitions (A6). In the vicinity $|q| \ll \frac{K_0}{4}$ of high symmetry points (the blue points in Fig 6b) in momentum space, these relations can be approximated by

$$\omega_+ \left(\frac{lK_0}{2} + q \right) \approx \omega_{0l} + v_l q, \quad \omega_- \left(-\frac{lK_0}{2} + q \right) \approx \omega_{0l} - v_l q \quad (\text{A7})$$

We have the *effective* free Hamiltonian density in momentum space $H_{(l)}^{\text{free}}(q)$ near the high symmetry points in the momentum space

$$H_{(l)}^{\text{free}}(q) = (\omega_{0l} + v_l q) \Phi_{l,+}^{\dagger}(q) \Phi_{l,+}(q) + (\omega_{0l} - v_l q) \Phi_{l,-}^{\dagger}(q) \Phi_{l,-}(q), \quad (\text{A8})$$

b. Diffractive coupling between counter-propagating waves

Due to grating, the positive and the negative modes couple with each other via diffractive coupling

$$\mathcal{H}^{\text{diffrac}} = \int dx U_D(x) \Phi_{+}^{\dagger}(x) \Phi_{-}(x) + h.c. \quad (\text{A9})$$




Figure 6. (a) Sketch of the photonic grating and the non-corrugated waveguide in effective refractive index approach. (b) Dispersions relation of photonic guided modes $\omega_{\pm}(k)$ and the coupling between positive and negative modes due to periodic potentials $U_D(x) = \sum_l U_l e^{i \frac{2l\pi}{a} x}$.

where the diffractive coupling function $U_D(x)$ is periodic with period a :

$$U_D(x) = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} U_l e^{ilK_0 x}, \quad (\text{A10})$$

where $U_l = U_{-l}$ because of the C_2 symmetry (reflection $x \rightarrow -x$) of the grating. Due to the diffractive coupling, effectively, the positive mode couple with the negative mode that is shifted by lK_0 in the momentum space. Vice versa, one can think of the diffractive coupling is the negative mode couples with the positive mode that is shifted by $-lK_0$ in the momentum space. The bandgaps will be open at the *crossing points* between the positive (negative) band and the shifted negative (positive) band. The strong coupling points are $K_C^+ = \frac{lK_0}{2}$ of the positive band and $-K_C^- = -\frac{lK_0}{2}$ of the negative band. These are also the high symmetry points of the BZs. Due to the diffractive coupling mechanism, l is called *diffractive order*. We can rewrite the coupling in terms of the *effective* wave-functions defined in Eqs. (A3),(A4) and (A5):

$$\mathcal{H}^{\text{diffrac}} = \sum_{\substack{l \in \mathbb{N}^* \\ -l \leq n \leq l}} \int dx U_l \Phi_{l+n,+}^\dagger(x) \Phi_{l-n,-}(x) + h.c. \quad (\text{A11})$$

Note that since positive mode has positive wave-vectors and the negative mode has negative wave-vectors, only $l \in \mathbb{N}^*$ appears in the summation of Eq. (A11) and n runs from $-l$ to l due to momentum conservation. However, the effective coupling becomes important when the energies of positive and negative bands are approximately identical, which corresponds to $n = 0$. Hence we rewrite the diffractive Hamiltonian as:

$$\mathcal{H}^{\text{diffrac}} = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}^*} \int dx U_l \Phi_{l,+}^\dagger(x) \Phi_{l,-}(x) + h.c. = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}^*} \int \frac{dq}{2\pi} U_l \Phi_{l,+}^\dagger(q) \Phi_{l,-}(q) + h.c. \quad (\text{A12})$$

Combining the (A8) and the diffractive coupling (A12), we can derive the *effective* Hamiltonian near the high symmetry point in the momentum basis

$$H_{\text{sg}}(q) = \mathcal{H}^{\text{free}} + \mathcal{H}^{\text{diffrac}} = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_{0l} + v_l q & U_l \\ U_l & \omega_{0l} - v_l q \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{A13})$$

From now on, we will concentrate on the high symmetry point corresponds to $l = 1$. We then replace $\omega_{01} \rightarrow \omega_0$, $U_1 \rightarrow U$ and $v_1 \rightarrow v$, we obtain the Hamiltonian (2) in the main text. In the subsequent sections, we will omit the l indices and implicitly use Φ_\pm as $\Phi_{1,\pm}$ in (A4).

2. Effective Hamiltonian of bilayer

We consider the *bilayer* configuration (see Fig 1): two identical gratings one on top of the other, separated by a distance L . The lower grating is shifted by a displacement δ_0 . This configuration was considered previously in [32]. We use the notation system with the implementation of index (1) and (2) to distinguish the *upper* and *lower* layer. We consider the basis made of *effective* wave-functions near the crossing point of the positive and the negative bands of each layer

$$\Psi^{(1)}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_+^{(1)}(x) \\ \Phi_-^{(1)}(x) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Psi^{(2)}(y) = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_+^{(2)}(y) \\ \Phi_-^{(2)}(y) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{A14})$$

Similar to the case of single layer, the Hamiltonian densities of uncoupled layers in these basis are:

$$H_{\text{single}}^{(1)}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_0 - iv\partial_x & U \\ U & \omega_0 + iv\partial_x \end{pmatrix}, \quad H_{\text{single}}^{(2)}(y) = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_0 - iv\partial_y & U \\ U & \omega_0 + iv\partial_y \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{A15})$$

The evanescent coupling of the bilayer configuration is [71]

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{bl}}^{\text{inter}} = \int dx \int dy \left\{ \Phi_+^{(1)\dagger}(x) \Phi_+^{(2)}(y) \mathcal{V}_{\text{f-b}}(x-y) + \Phi_-^{(1)\dagger}(x) \Phi_-^{(2)}(y) \mathcal{V}_{\text{f-b}}(x-y) \right\} + h.c. \quad (\text{A16})$$

In the regime in $L \ll a$, we can assume that $\mathcal{V}_{\text{f-b}}(x-y) = V \delta(x-y-\delta_0)$. If we only consider the effective modes near the symmetry point corresponds to $l = 1$, we rewrite the inter-layer coupling Hamiltonian as:

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{bl}}^{\text{inter}} = V \int dx \left\{ \Phi_+^{(1)\dagger}(x) \Phi_+^{(2)}(x-\delta) e^{-i\frac{K_0}{2}\delta} + \Phi_-^{(1)\dagger}(x) \Phi_-^{(2)}(x-\delta) e^{i\frac{K_0}{2}\delta} \right\} + h.c. \quad (\text{A17})$$

We then replace $\partial_y \rightarrow \partial_x$ and $y \rightarrow x - \delta_0$ in equation (A14). The effective basis when working with both layers is given by:

$$\Psi^{\text{bilayer}}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_+^{(1)}(x) \\ \Phi_-^{(1)}(x) \\ \Phi_+^{(2)}(x - \delta_0) \\ \Phi_-^{(2)}(x - \delta_0) \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{A18})$$

for real space and momentum space respectively. The matrix representation of inter-layer coupling Hamiltonian of Eq.(A17) in real space is written as:

$$H_{\text{bl}}^{\text{inter}}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0}_{2 \times 2} & T_0 \\ T_0^\dagger & \mathbf{0}_{2 \times 2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{A19})$$

with the interlayer coupling matrix

$$T_0 = \begin{pmatrix} V e^{-i \frac{K_0}{2} \delta_0} & 0 \\ 0 & V e^{i \frac{K_0}{2} \delta_0} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{A20})$$

The bilayer Hamiltonian consists of the Hamiltonian of uncoupled layers and the inter-layer coupling Hamiltonian. Using effective Hamiltonians (A15) and the interlayer coupling (A19), we obtain the effective Hamiltonian for the bilayer system (3). A comment is in order, another form of the bilayer Hamiltonian in momentum space is reported in Ref. [32]. One can show that the two bilayer Hamiltonians are equivalent using a simple transformation of the basis.

3. Hamiltonian of the moiré bilayer

a. Hamiltonian of uncoupled layers

We now consider a moiré bilayer of parameters as discussed in section II (see Fig 1). With such geometrical design, the Hamiltonian of the uncoupled layers from moiré configuration has the same form as the ones of uncoupled layers as in the bilayer configuration. The only difference to the bilayer configuration is the mismatch between BZ-sizes of the two layers ($K_1 = 2\pi/a_1$ for the upper layer, and $K_2 = 2\pi/a_2$ for the lower layer). The decomposition of wavefunctions corresponding to positive and negative modes is given by:

$$\Phi_\pm^{(1)}(x) = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{\pm i \frac{l K_1}{2} x} \Phi_{l,\pm}^{(1)}(x) = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{\pm i \frac{l K_1}{2} x} \int_{-\frac{K_1}{4}}^{\frac{K_1}{4}} \frac{dq}{2\pi} \Phi_{l,\pm}^{(1)}(q) e^{iqx}, \quad (\text{A21})$$

$$\Phi_\pm^{(2)}(y) = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{\pm i \frac{l K_2}{2} y} \Phi_{l,\pm}^{(2)}(y) = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{\pm i \frac{l K_2}{2} y} \int_{-\frac{K_2}{4}}^{\frac{K_2}{4}} \frac{dq}{2\pi} \Phi_{l,\pm}^{(2)}(q) e^{iqy}. \quad (\text{A22})$$

Since the mismatch between BZ-sizes $K_M \ll K_1, K_2$, we expect the interlayer coupling to play an important role when the upper and lower modes are near the symmetry point with the same index l . We consider the effective theory near the symmetry point $l = 1$, and omit the l index by implicitly use $\Phi_\pm^{(1)}$ as $\Phi_{1,\pm}^{(1)}$ and $\Phi_\pm^{(2)}$ as $\Phi_{1,\pm}^{(2)}$. The basis made of *effective* wave-functions near the crossing point of the positive and the negative bands of each layer

$$\Psi^{(1)}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_+^{(1)}(x) \\ \Phi_-^{(1)}(x) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Psi^{(2)}(y) = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_+^{(2)}(y) \\ \Phi_-^{(2)}(y) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{A23})$$

The Hamiltonian densities of uncoupled layers in these basis are:

$$H_{\text{single}}^{(1)}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_0^{(1)} - iv^{(1)}\partial_x & U^{(1)} \\ U^{(1)} & \omega_0^{(1)} + iv^{(1)}\partial_x \end{pmatrix} \quad H_{\text{single}}^{(2)}(y) = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_0^{(2)} - iv^{(2)}\partial_y & U^{(2)} \\ U^{(2)} & \omega_0^{(2)} + iv^{(2)}\partial_y \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{A24})$$

The parameters of the Hamiltonians (A24) are determined from the simulation and experiment fitting for a single-layer uni-dimensional photonic crystal slab.

b. *Hamiltonian of inter-layer coupling: moiré configuration*

Co-propagating waves of the same momentum but from different layers are coupled via evanescent coupling. The evanescent mechanism is written in term of the coupling Hamiltonian as

$$\mathcal{H}^{\text{inter}} = \int dx \int dy \left[\Phi_+^{(1)\dagger}(x) \Phi_+^{(2)}(y) \mathcal{V}(x-y) + \Phi_-^{(1)\dagger}(x) \Phi_-^{(2)}(y) \mathcal{V}(x-y) \right] + h.c. \quad (\text{A25})$$

When $L \ll a$, we can assume that $\mathcal{V}(x-y) = V\delta(x-y-\delta_0)$ where δ_0 is the offset shift between the two layers. Moreover, as discussed in the main text, the value of δ_0 is not relevant for the moiré structure, and we can assume it to be zero. Considering the effective model near the symmetry points corresponding to $l = 1$. We rewrite the inter-coupling Hamiltonian (A25) as the coupling of effective basis $\Phi_{\pm}^{(1)}(x)$ and $\Phi_{\pm}^{(2)}(x)$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}^{\text{inter}} &= V \int dx \left[\Phi_+^{(1)\dagger}(x) \Phi_+^{(2)}(x) e^{-i\frac{(K_1-K_2)}{2}x} + \Phi_-^{(1)\dagger}(x) \Phi_-^{(2)}(x) e^{i\frac{(K_1-K_2)}{2}x} \right] + h.c. \\ &= V \int dx \left[\Phi_+^{(1)\dagger}(x) \Phi_+^{(2)}(x) e^{-i\frac{K_M}{2}x} + \Phi_-^{(1)\dagger}(x) \Phi_-^{(2)}(x) e^{i\frac{K_M}{2}x} \right] + h.c. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A26})$$

Some remarks are in order. We now understand the origin of the spatial dependent phase shift $\phi(x)$ in Eq. (4) in the main text by looking at the expansions (A21) and (A22). Due to the mismatch between BZ-sizes, there is a different phase between the upper and the lower modes near the symmetry points corresponding to the same m . We then choose an effective basis when working with both layers

$$\Psi^{\text{moiré}}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_+^{(1)}(x) \\ \Phi_-^{(1)}(x) \\ \Phi_+^{(2)}(x) \\ \Phi_-^{(2)}(x) \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{A27})$$

We then replace $\partial_y \rightarrow \partial_x$ in Eq. (A24) and obtain the matrix representation of the effective Hamiltonian of Eq.(A26) in the effective basis (A27):

$$H^{\text{moiré}}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} H_{\text{single}}^{(1)}(x) & T(x) \\ T^\dagger(x) & H_{\text{single}}^{(2)}(x) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{A28})$$

the interlay coupling matrix

$$T(x) = e^{-i\frac{K_M}{2}x} T_1 + e^{i\frac{K_M}{2}x} T_2, \quad T_1 = \begin{pmatrix} V & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad T_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & V \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{A29})$$

The difference of period would lead to a slight difference of values of the offset ω_0 and the intra-layer coupling strength U for each grating and a small modification of V with respect to the case of Bilayer lattice. However, since $\omega_0 \gg U, V$, in the first approximation, only ω_0 varies when switching from upper to lower layer.

The Hamiltonian (A28) is nothing but the effective Hamiltonian (5) in the main text. The decomposition (A29) shows two types of inter-layer coupling in momentum space:

- The positive mode with effective momentum q in the upper layer will couple to the positive mode with effective momentum $q + \frac{K_M}{2}$ in the lower layer via T_1 .
- The negative mode with effective momentum q in the upper layer will couple to the negative mode with effective momentum $q - \frac{K_M}{2}$ in the lower layer via T_2 .

We demonstrate this coupling mechanism in the momentum space explicitly in Fig 7b. This situation is similar to the inter-layer coupling model suggested by Bistrizer and Mac Donald in twisted bilayer graphene [1]; the only difference is that in twisted bilayer graphene, there are three couplings T_1, T_2 and T_3 corresponding to three momentum shifts instead of just two.

A change of basis:

The effective basis (A27) was chosen in the same manner as in the twisted bilayer graphene literature [2]. Consequently, the Hamiltonian (A28) shares the same pattern as the Hamiltonian derived by Bistrizer and MacDonald in Ref [1, 2] as expected. Notice that in the effective basis (A27), the origins of the effective momenta are different. The wave-function in the coordinate space is given by




Figure 7. (a)Phase-matching condition (conservation of momentum) for inter-layer coupling between co-propagating waves. (b) Inter-layer coupling mechanism in momentum space between different moiré BZ: Modes in the upper(lower) layer with Bloch momentum q couple to modes in the lower(upper) layer with Bloch momentum $q - \frac{K_M}{2}$ and $q + \frac{K_M}{2}$. Each moiré BZ is indicated by its index p .

$$\Psi(x) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\frac{K_1}{2}x}\Phi_+^{(1)}(x) \\ e^{-i\frac{K_1}{2}x}\Phi_-^{(1)}(x) \\ e^{i\frac{K_2}{2}x}\Phi_+^{(2)}(x) \\ e^{-i\frac{K_2}{2}x}\Phi_-^{(2)}(x) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{A30})$$

The wave-function of the electromagnetic wave near the vicinity of X point on the upper layer and lower layer can be read off from (A30) as

$$\psi^{up}(x) = e^{i\frac{K_1}{2}x}\Phi_+^{(1)}(x) + e^{-i\frac{K_1}{2}x}\Phi_-^{(1)}(x), \quad \psi^{low}(x) = e^{i\frac{K_2}{2}x}\Phi_+^{(2)}(x) + e^{-i\frac{K_2}{2}x}\Phi_-^{(2)}(x). \quad (\text{A31})$$

One can use (A31) solved from effective Hamiltonian (A28) to compare directly with the electromagnetic wave in coordinate space of simulations and experiments. However, it is helpful to introduce another effective basis such that the wavefunction in the coordinate space is

$$\Psi(x) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\frac{K_1}{2}x}\Phi_+^{(1)}(x) \\ e^{-i\frac{K_1}{2}x}\Phi_-^{(1)}(x) \\ e^{i\frac{K_2}{2}x}\Phi_+^{(2)}(x) \\ e^{-i\frac{K_2}{2}x}\Phi_-^{(2)}(x) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\frac{K_1}{2}x}\Phi_+^{(1)}(x) \\ e^{-i\frac{K_1}{2}x}\Phi_-^{(1)}(x) \\ e^{i\frac{K_1}{2}x}\tilde{\Phi}_+^{(2)}(x) \\ e^{-i\frac{K_1}{2}x}\tilde{\Phi}_-^{(2)}(x) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{A32})$$

which implies

$$\tilde{\Phi}_+^{(2)}(x) = e^{-iK_M x/2}\Phi_+^{(2)}(x), \quad \tilde{\Phi}_-^{(2)}(x) = e^{iK_M x/2}\Phi_-^{(2)}(x). \quad (\text{A33})$$

We are able to rewrite the moiré Hamiltonian (A28) in the new effective basis

$$\tilde{\Psi}^{\text{moiré}}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_+^{(1)}(x) \\ \Phi_-^{(1)}(x) \\ \tilde{\Phi}_+^{(2)}(x) \\ \tilde{\Phi}_-^{(2)}(x) \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{A34})$$

explicitly as follow

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} -v^{(1)}i\partial_x + \omega_0^{(1)} & U^{(1)} & V & 0 \\ U^{(1)} & +v^{(1)}i\partial_x + \omega_0^{(1)} & 0 & V \\ V & 0 & -v^{(2)}i\partial_x + \omega_0^{(2)} & U^{(2)}e^{-iK_M x} \\ 0 & V & U^{(2)}e^{iK_M x} & +v^{(2)}i\partial_x + \omega_0^{(2)} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{A35})$$

Since $K_1 = (N + 1)K_M$, the momentum of the effective basis $\Phi_{\pm}^{(1)}(q)$ and $\tilde{\Phi}_{\pm}^{(2)}(q)$ are folded back to the same point in the moiré BZ. Therefore, the new effective basis (A34) is convenient to compare with the moiré wave-functions from simulations and experiments in the momentum space (moiré BZ).

Appendix B: Some more details of the analysis of the effective Hamiltonian: flatbands, localization, tunnelling and bound states

1. Fourier transform of the band structure

Being even and periodic with respect to the moiré wavevector $K_M = 2q_0$, an energy band $E(q)$ is completely described by Fourier coefficients $f_p = \frac{1}{2q_0} \int_{-q_0}^{+q_0} dq \cos(p\pi/q_0) E(q)$. Fig. 8 presents the Fourier components f_p of the flat band as indicated in Fig. 2 in the main text, but with slightly different dimensionless parameters as indicated in the caption. It shows that the first coefficients of the Fourier dominate over higher Fourier components, suggesting in an effective tight-binding model, the nearest neighbour coupling dominates. Importantly, higher Fourier coefficients, although small, do not vanish when the first coefficient vanishes (near the flat band). This suggests that the band, although becomes highly flat at the magic coupling, is not *perfectly* flat.




Figure 8. The Fourier coefficients $f_p = \frac{1}{2q_0} \int_{-q_0}^{+q_0} dq \cos(p\pi/q_0) E(q)$ as functions of the couplings V with $U = 1$, $\Delta = -0.3$, $q_0 = 0.6$.

2. Probability density distribution of the Bloch wave functions and Wannier functions

To study the Bloch wave function near the flat transition, we compute the density

$$\rho(x) = u_q(x)^\dagger u_q(x). \quad (\text{B1})$$

Figure 9 (left) demonstrates the probability densities of the Bloch wavefunctions with varying coupling V across a flat transition. It is important to notice that while the Bloch wave functions tend to concentrate within a moiré period, they do not vanish anywhere (also when the band is flat). In particular, there is no qualitative change in the density of the Bloch wave function as the band is crossing the flat transition.

To consider the possibility of concentrating light in the moiré lattice, we compute the Wannier functions for the Bloch Hamiltonian (8). The computation of the Wannier function requires fixing the arbitrary phase in the numerical solution of the eigenvectors of the Bloch Hamiltonian (8). This is a known difficulty in computing Wannier functions with maximal localization [50]. Fortunately, in one-dimensional systems, there is a known gauge fixing procedure, the *twisted parallel transport gauge*, that allows for the computation of Wannier functions of maximal localization [50].

Upon fixing the twisted parallel transport gauge, the Wannier function is then obtained directly as

$$W_0(x) = \frac{1}{2q_0} \int_{-q_0}^{+q_0} dq e^{iqx} u_q(x). \quad (\text{B2})$$

Notice that the integral runs over the full moiré BZ $[-q_0, q_0]$, that is, twice as much of the apparent BZ $[-q_0/2, q_0/2]$. Figure 9 (right) plots the probability density of the Wannier wavefunction (B2). While being highly concentrated, see Fig 10, one should notice that the Wannier function extends beyond a single Moiré period. There is also no qualitative change in the density of the Wannier wave function as the band is crossing the flat transition.

3. Dynamical signature of flatbands

From the above analysis, it is clear that the concentration of the probability density of the Bloch wave function or the Wannier function is not the signature of the flat band. In fact, the very physical meaning of localization in this context is a dynamic one.

Suppose the system has a flatband $u_q(x)$, that is for some energy level $E_q = E_0$, independent of q . Then this is nothing but saying that $\Psi_q(x) = e^{iqx} u_q(x)$ are having the same energy $E_q = E_0$ for all q . This means that given any wave function in momentum space v_q , the wave packet

$$\Psi(x) = \sum_q v_q e^{iqx} u_q(x) \quad (\text{B3})$$

is also an eigen-wavefunction with energy E_0 . As a consequence, the probability density $\Psi(x)^\dagger \Psi(x)$ is unchanged overtime. This is true for any wavepacket v_q in the Bloch momentum space, in particular the Wannier function (B2).

4. Finite systems: tunnelling and resonances, bound states

To understand better the nature of the flat bands, we compute the tunnelling and bound states of light in a *finite* number of moiré periods. This calculation can be carried out employing the (generalised) transfer matrix method [53], particularly adapted to the case of Dirac-like equations in Ref. [54].

To do so, we rewrite the eigenvalue equation

$$H\Psi(x) = E\Psi(x). \quad (\text{B4})$$

into the form

$$\partial_x \Psi(x) = \mathcal{H}(x) \Psi(x) \quad (\text{B5})$$

where $\mathcal{H}(x)$ is a 4×4 matrix given by

$$\mathcal{H}(x) = i \begin{pmatrix} -(\Delta - E) & -U & -V e^{-iq_0 x} & 0 \\ U & \Delta - E & 0 & V e^{+iq_0 x} \\ -V e^{+iq_0 x} & 0 & -(\Delta - E) & -U \\ 0 & V e^{-iq_0 x} & U & \Delta - E \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{B6})$$




Figure 9. Probability density of the Bloch wavefunction at wavevector $q = 0$ (left) and the Wannier functions (right) of the first positive band with parameters $U = 1$, $\Delta = -0.3$, $q_0 = 0.6$, and varying coupling $V = 0.1, 0.7, 0.9, 1.1, 1.3$ (with $V = 1$ (red) near a flatband transition).




Figure 10. The fraction of Wannier function confined within a moiré period.

All possible x -evolutions of the x -dynamical equation (B5) is described by the 4×4 x -evolution operator $G_E(x_2, x_1)$, which is the solution of

$$\partial_{x_2} G_E(x_2, x_1) = \mathcal{H}(x_2) G_E(x_2, x_1), \quad (\text{B7})$$

subject to the initial condition $G_E(x_2, x_1) = \mathbb{I}$.

The function $G_E(x_2, x_1)$ summarises *all* information about the eigenwave function $\Psi(x)$ corresponding to the eigenvalue E of the Hamiltonian H . Therefore it is a convenient way to relate different properties of H , such as the existence of extended states, transmission amplitudes, probability distribution, the density of states, etc. On the other hand, with well-developed methods for the ordinary differential equations (ODEs) [72], the computation of $G_E(x_2, x_1)$ is relatively easy. One should, however, notice that the x -dynamics is non-hermitian and sometimes numerical instabilities have to be addressed.

a. Boundary condition and the computation of tunnelling rate

To investigate the tunnelling phenomena through the finite moiré structure between x_1 and x_2 , one has to consider the realisation of the asymptotic area outside the moiré structure. For convenience, we choose this to be of the type of fishbone structure [32];

that is, fixing the phase in the coupling between the two chains in the Hamiltonian (6) to be $e^{\pm iq_0 x_1}$ (constant) for $x \leq x_1$, and $e^{\pm iq_0 x_2}$ (constant) for $x \geq x_2$.

For the fixed phases $e^{\pm iq_0 x_1}$ or $e^{\pm iq_0 x_2}$, the eigenstate of the Halmitonian (6) can be easily solved, resulted in the fishbone band structure [32]. Plugging a plane-wave solution Ce^{ikx} into the resulted Hamiltonian, one finds the fishbone eigenvalue equation,

$$\begin{pmatrix} k + \Delta - E & U & V e^{-i\phi} & 0 \\ U & -k + \Delta - E & 0 & V e^{+i\phi} \\ V e^{+i\phi} & 0 & k - \Delta - E & U \\ 0 & V e^{-i\phi} & U & -k - \Delta - E \end{pmatrix} C = 0, \quad (\text{B8})$$

where $\phi = q_0 x_1$ or $\phi = q_0 x_2$, which are here simply constants. Fixing the energy E , we are interested in solving this equation for k . The resulted equation is a generalised eigenvalue problem. In general, the obtained generalised eigenvalues k are complex. To fix an ordering, we order the four (generalised) eigenvalues k according to their increasing phases, that is, the angles with respect to the real axis, computed counterclockwise.

Let us consider the possible solutions of equation (B8). One sees that if k is a solution, k^* is also a solution (time-reversal symmetry). Also, if k is a solution, $-k$ is also a solution (spatial reflection symmetry). In general, one has 4 different wavevectors satisfying (B8). If one of the solution k is generically complex (i.e., not pure real or pure imaginary), then by acting with the time-reversal symmetry and reflection symmetry, one obtains all the other three solutions k^* , $-k$, $-k^*$, which are also generically complex. On the other hand, if one of the solution k is real, then the time-reversal symmetry and the reflection symmetry only give $-k$ as another solution. There are then two possibilities: the other two solutions can also be real, or they must be purely imaginary.

To consider the tunnelling phenomena, we are interested in the energy range of $+\Delta + U \leq E \leq -\Delta + U$ (for $\Delta < 0$). Here for a fixed energy E , there are two real wavevectors $\pm k$ (with the convention $k \geq 0$), corresponding to the phases of 0 and π . Two other modes are of pure imaginary wavevectors $i\kappa$ (with the convention $\kappa \geq 0$) corresponding to exponential decaying or exponential amplifying modes and phases of $\pi/2$ and $3\pi/2$. By W we denote the matrix of which the columns are the corresponding eigenvectors (ordered such that phases of the eigenvalues increase, here must be $0, \pi/2, \pi$ and $3\pi/2$). The general wavefunction depends on 4 amplitudes of these different solutions, a^\pm and b^\pm , explicitly given by

$$\Psi(x) = WV(x) \begin{pmatrix} a^+ \\ b^+ \\ a^- \\ b^- \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{B9})$$

where

$$V(x) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{ikx} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-\kappa x} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{-ikx} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & e^{+\kappa x} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{B10})$$

According to the ordering convention, a^\pm are the amplitudes of the travelling modes (corresponding to phases of eigenvalues k of 0 and π) and b^\pm are the amplitudes of the exponential modes (corresponding to phases of the eigenvalues k of $\pi/2$ and $3\pi/2$).

This solution can be applied to both the areas $x \leq x_1$ and $x \geq x_2$ with corresponding amplitudes a_1^\pm and b_1^\pm and a_2^\pm and b_2^\pm . This results in the wave function at $x = x_1$ to be $\Psi(x_1) = W_1 V_1(x_1)(a_1^+, b_1^+, a_1^-, b_1^-)^T$ and at $x = x_2$ to be $\Psi(x_2) = W_2 V_2(x_2)(a_2^+, b_2^+, a_2^-, b_2^-)^T$. Now using the solution of the wavefunction $G(x_2, x_1)$ through the moiré periods as obtained from the generalised transfer matrix, $\Psi(x_2) = G(x_2, x_1)\Psi(x_1)$, one obtains

$$\mathcal{T} \begin{pmatrix} a_1^+ \\ b_1^+ \\ a_1^- \\ b_1^- \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_2^+ \\ b_2^+ \\ a_2^- \\ b_2^- \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{B11})$$

where the *transfer matrix* \mathcal{T} is given by

$$\mathcal{T} = V_2(x_2)^{-1} W_2^{-1} G(x_2, x_1) W_1 V_1(x_1). \quad (\text{B12})$$

To obtain the tunnelling rate, we apply the boundary condition $(a_1^+, b_1^+, a_1^-, b_1^-) = (1, 0, r, l_1)$ and $(a_2^+, b_2^+, a_2^-, b_2^-) = (t, l_2, 0, 0)$. It is interesting to notice that the exponential modes also participate in the process: by injecting a plane wave at $a_1^+ = 1$, a wave is reflected at $a_1^- = r$, some part $a_2^+ = t$ is transmitted though; and at the same time the (left and right)




Figure 11. Comparison of bound states of two moiré periods with the top and the bottom of the lowest positive band of the periodic system. Flat bands happen close to the degenerate point of the two bound states of the system of two moiré periods. Here $U = 1$, $\Delta = -0.3$, $q_0 = 0.6$.

exponentially decaying modes are excited with amplitudes l_1 and l_2 . This gives rise to the formula for the reflection coefficients and transmission coefficients as

$$r = -\frac{\mathcal{T}_{44}\mathcal{T}_{31} - \mathcal{T}_{34}\mathcal{T}_{41}}{\mathcal{T}_{33}\mathcal{T}_{44} - \mathcal{T}_{34}\mathcal{T}_{43}} \quad (\text{B13})$$

$$l_1 = -\frac{-\mathcal{T}_{43}\mathcal{T}_{31} + \mathcal{T}_{33}\mathcal{T}_{41}}{\mathcal{T}_{33}\mathcal{T}_{44} - \mathcal{T}_{34}\mathcal{T}_{43}} \quad (\text{B14})$$

$$t = \mathcal{T}_{11} + r\mathcal{T}_{12} + l_1\mathcal{T}_{14}. \quad (\text{B15})$$

Obtaining the transmission coefficients, one can exact its resonant structure, which indicates the quasi-bound states of light in the system. These obtained quasi-bound states can be compared to the band structure of the system of an infinite number of periods. However, it is even more convenient to study the exact bound states in a system of a finite number of moiré periods for our consideration.

b. Boundary condition and the computation of bound states

As for bound states, we consider again the asymptotic areas to be of fishbone type, but now at $x_1 = \Lambda/2$ and $x_2 = \Lambda/2 + p\Lambda$ for a integer number p . In this scenario, in the energy interval $U + \Delta \leq E \leq U - \Delta$ (notice again that $\Delta < 0$), there is no extended states in the fishbone areas; all four wavevectors as solutions of (B8) are generically complex. Recall that we order the eigenvalues according to their angles with the real axis. To have a bound state, we apply the boundary condition for the amplitudes $(0, 0, l_1, l_2)$ on the left and the amplitudes $(l_3, l_4, 0, 0)$; in either side, only exponentially decaying modes are allowed. This results in the equation to be solved for the energy of the bound states as

$$\mathcal{T}_{34}\mathcal{T}_{43} = \mathcal{T}_{33}\mathcal{T}_{44}. \quad (\text{B16})$$

Using this procedure, we compute the bound states that are supported in a system of two moiré periods, which is presented as a function of the inter-chain coupling V in Fig. 11. One observes that flat band transitions happen very close to the degenerate point of the two bound states of the system of two moiré periods.

c. Derivation of the band structure of the infinite system

As an interesting side remark, we mention that the band structure of the system can also be computed from the generalised transfer matrix $G(x_2, x_1)$. To this end, we choose $x_2 - x_1$ to be an apparent period of the potential (twice as much of the moiré period), $x_2 - x_1 = 2\Lambda$. Then from the fact that $\Psi(x_2) = G(x_2, x_1)\Psi(x_1)$ and the Bloch theorem $\Psi(x_2) = e^{iq2\Lambda}\Psi(x_1)$

we obtain $\det[G(x_2, x_1) - e^{iq2\Lambda}] = 0$. This allows one to compute the Bloch wavevector corresponding to the energy under consideration E . By selecting the real wave vector q , the band structure of the system can then be derived.

Appendix C: Parameter retrieval for the effective Hamiltonians

The effective Hamiltonian of the moiré structure is determined by the energies $\omega_0^{(1,2)}$, $U^{(1,2)}$, V and the group velocity v . These values are retrieved from the dispersion characteristics of the single layer structure (for ω_0, U and v), and of the bilayer structure (for V) which are obtained by RCWA simulations. In the following, we will discuss in details these parameter retrieval methods.

1. Parameter retrieval of single grating structure

The dispersion characteristic of a single grating structure is easily calculated from Eq. (2) in the main text. It consists of two bands of opposite curvature $\pm \frac{v^2}{2U}$, with corresponding band edge energies given by $\omega_0 \pm U$. As a consequence, ω_0 and U are directly extracted from the energy of resonances at $q = 0$ of the RCWA simulations. Then knowing U , the group velocity v is extracted from the curvature of these resonance. As shown in Fig. 12b, the band structure which is calculated by the effective Hamiltonian using the retrieved parameters reproduce perfectly the simulated one.




Figure 12. (a) Sketch of a single grating structure. (b) Band structure of a single grating structure obtained by RCWA simulation (left) and by the effective Hamiltonian using retrieved parameter U , ω_0 , v . Here the simulated structure corresponds to $a = a_0$, $\kappa = 0.8$ and $h = 0.6a_0$. The retrieved parameters are $\omega_0 = \Omega_0$, $U = U_0$ and $n_g = c/v = 3$. With $\Omega_0 a_0 / 2\pi c = 0.2073$ and $U_0 a_0 / 2\pi c = 0.0191$. (c) Dependence of the retrieved parameters when the period a is slightly different than a_0 . It shows that the group velocity v is almost unchanged, while ω_0 and U are slightly modified. The modifications of ω_0 and U can be fitted by $\omega_0(a) = \Omega_0 [1 - 0.76 (a/a_0 - 1)]$ and $U(a) = U_0 [1 - 0.85 (a/a_0 - 1)]$. (d) Dependence of the retrieved parameters when the filling fraction κ is scanned from 0.5 to 1. It shows that while the offset energy ω_0 and the group velocity are slightly modified, the intra-layer coupling strength U is greatly modified from $3U_0$ to 0.

With the retrieval method presented above, we can explore the dependence of U , ω_0 and v on geometrical parameters of the system. In particular, two dependencies are studied in details:

- **Dependence on the period a when a is slightly different than a_0 :** this dependence is responsible to the slight difference between $U^{(1)}, \omega_0^{(1)}$ and $U^{(2)}, \omega_0^{(2)}$ corresponding to upper and lower gratings of period a_1 and a_2 . The results of this study are shown in Fig. 12c. We notice that the linear dependence $\omega_0(a)$ leading to a simple proportional relation between $\Delta = \frac{\omega_0^{(1)} - \omega_0^{(2)}}{2}$, $\Delta_U = \frac{U^{(1)} - U^{(2)}}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{N} \approx \frac{a_2 - a_1}{a_0}$. As a consequence, the three parameters Δ, Δ_U and q_0 of the Hamiltonian (6) are connected and can be reduced to a single one, for example q_0 .
- **Dependence on the filling fraction κ :** the strong and almost linear dependence of $U(\kappa)$ is shown in Fig. 12d. It suggests that the filling fraction is the parameter for tuning the intralayer coupling strength.

2. Parameter retrieval of bilayer structure




Figure 13. (a) Sketch of a bilayer grating structure. (b) Band-edge energies of the band structure of a bilayer grating as a function of the distance L between the two layers. The two gratings are identical and aligned, with $a = a_0$, $\kappa = 0.8$ and $h = 0.6a_0$. The blue circles correspond to extracted data from RCWA simulation. The solid red lines are fittings, given by $\omega_0 \pm U - V$ and $\omega_0 \pm U + V$. Here $\omega_0 = \Omega_0$ and $U = U_0$, obtained from parameter retrieval of the single grating. And $V(L) = V_0 e^{-L/L_0}$ with $V_0 a_0 / 2\pi c = 0.032$ and $L_0 / a_0 = 0.34$. (c) Band structure of bilayer grating structures of different relative displacement δ/a , obtained by RCWA simulation and by the effective Hamiltonian using retrieved parameter U, ω_0, v and V .

The dispersion characteristic of bilayer structure can be analytically calculated from Eq. (3) from the main text. The detailed of these eigenmodes has been reported in [32]. Here we only discuss how to retrieve the inter-layer coupling strength from these band structure and the validation of the method.

Since ω_0 and U are already retrieved from the simulation of single grating, only V left to be retrieved. One may show that, for AA stacking (i.e. $\delta/a = 0$), the band structure consist of four bands with bandedge energies given by $\omega_0 \pm U + V$ and $\omega_0 \pm -V$. As a consequence, V is directly extracted from the energy of resonance at $q = 0$ of the RCWA simulations for anyone from the four bands. Using this method, we can easily obtain the dependence of V as the function of the distance L separating the two grating. The results shown in Fig. C 2b evidences the dependence law $V = V_0 e^{-L/L_0}$ used in the main text.

Finally, we confirm the validity of the retrieved parameters by using them to calculate the band structure of the bilayer for different relative shift δ/a , and for different value of L . The results presented in Fig. C 2c show perfect agreement between the calculated dispersion and the ones obtained by RCWA simulations, thus validate the retrieved parameters.

-
- [1] R. Bistritzer and A. H. MacDonald, Moiré bands in twisted double-layer graphene, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **108**, 12233 (2011), <https://www.pnas.org/content/108/30/12233.full.pdf>.
- [2] G. Tarnopolsky, A. J. Kruchkov, and A. Vishwanath, Origin of Magic Angles in Twisted Bilayer Graphene, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122**, 106405 (2019).
- [3] S. Lisi, X. Lu, T. Benschop, T. A. de Jong, P. Stepanov, J. R. Duran, F. Margot, I. Cucchi, E. Cappelli, A. Hunter, A. Tamai, V. Kandyba, A. Giampietri, A. Barinov, J. Jobst, V. Stalman, M. Leeuwenhoek, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, L. Rademaker, S. J. van der Molen, M. P. Allan, D. K. Efetov, and F. Baumberger, Observation of flat bands in twisted bilayer graphene, *Nature Physics* **17**, 189 (2021).
- [4] Y. Cao, V. Fatemi, S. Fang, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, E. Kaxiras, and P. Jarillo-Herrero, Unconventional superconductivity in magic-angle graphene superlattices, *Nature* **556**, 43 (2018).
- [5] H. S. Arora, R. Polski, Y. Zhang, A. Thomson, Y. Choi, H. Kim, Z. Lin, I. Z. Wilson, X. Xu, J.-H. Chu, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, J. Alicea, and S. Nadj-Perge, Superconductivity in metallic twisted bilayer graphene stabilized by WSe₂, *Nature* **583**, 379 (2020).
- [6] P. Stepanov, I. Das, X. Lu, A. Fahimniya, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, F. H. L. Koppens, J. Lischner, L. Levitov, and D. K. Efetov, Untying the insulating and superconducting orders in magic-angle graphene, *Nature* **583**, 375 (2020).
- [7] Z. Song, Z. Wang, W. Shi, G. Li, C. Fang, and B. A. Bernevig, All magic angles in twisted bilayer graphene are topological, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **123**, 036401 (2019).
- [8] S. Wu, Z. Zhang, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, and E. Y. Andrei, Chern insulators and topological flat-bands in magic-angle twisted bilayer graphene (2020), [arXiv:2007.03735](https://arxiv.org/abs/2007.03735) [cond-mat.mes-hall].
- [9] X. Liu, Z. Hao, E. Khalaf, J. Y. Lee, Y. Ronen, H. Yoo, D. H. Najafabadi, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, A. Vishwanath, and P. Kim, Tunable spin-polarized correlated states in twisted double bilayer graphene, *Nature* **583**, 221 (2020).
- [10] K.-T. Tsai, X. Zhang, Z. Zhu, Y. Luo, S. Carr, M. Luskin, E. Kaxiras, and K. Wang, Correlated insulating states and transport signature of superconductivity in twisted trilayer graphene moiré of moiré superlattices (2020), [arXiv:1912.03375](https://arxiv.org/abs/1912.03375) [cond-mat.mes-hall].
- [11] S. Carr, D. Massatt, M. Luskin, and E. Kaxiras, Duality between atomic configurations and bloch states in twistrionic materials, *Phys. Rev. Research* **2**, 033162 (2020).
- [12] T. Kariyado and A. Vishwanath, Flat band in twisted bilayer Bravais lattices, *Phys. Rev. Res.* **1**, 033076 (2019).
- [13] Z. Zhang, Y. Wang, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, K. Ueno, E. Tutuc, and B. J. LeRoy, Flat bands in twisted bilayer transition metal dichalcogenides, *Nature Physics* **16**, 1093 (2020).
- [14] H. Guo, X. Zhang, and G. Lu, Shedding light on moiré excitons: A first-principles perspective, *Science Advances* **6**, 10.1126/sciadv.abc5638 (2020), <https://advances.sciencemag.org/content/6/42/eabc5638.full.pdf>.
- [15] L. Wang, E. M. Shih, A. Ghiotto, L. Xian, D. A. Rhodes, C. Tan, M. Claassen, D. M. Kennes, Y. Bai, B. Kim, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, X. Zhu, J. Hone, A. Rubio, A. N. Pasupathy, and C. R. Dean, Correlated electronic phases in twisted bilayer transition metal dichalcogenides, *Nature Materials* **19**, 861 (2020).
- [16] X. Huang, Y. Lai, Z. H. Hang, H. Zheng, and C. T. Chan, Dirac cones induced by accidental degeneracy in photonic crystals and zero-refractive-index materials, *Nature Materials* **10**, 582 (2011).
- [17] Y. Li, S. Kita, P. Muñoz, O. Reshef, D. I. Vulis, M. Yin, M. Loncar, and E. Mazur, On-chip zero-index metamaterials, *Nature Photonics* **9**, 738 (2015).
- [18] R. A. Vicencio, C. Cantillano, L. Morales-Inostroza, B. Real, C. Mejía-Cortés, S. Weimann, A. Szameit, and M. I. Molina, Observation of localized states in lieb photonic lattices, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114**, 245503 (2015).
- [19] S. Mukherjee, A. Spracklen, D. Choudhury, N. Goldman, P. Öhberg, E. Andersson, and R. R. Thomson, Observation of a localized flat-band state in a photonic lieb lattice, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114**, 245504 (2015).
- [20] T. Ozawa, H. M. Price, A. Amo, N. Goldman, M. Hafezi, L. Lu, M. C. Rechtsman, D. Schuster, J. Simon, O. Zilberberg, and I. Carusotto, Topological photonics, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **91**, 015006 (2019).
- [21] H. Wang, S. K. Gupta, B. Xie, and M. Lu, Topological photonic crystals: a review, *Frontiers of Optoelectronics* **13**, 50 (2020).
- [22] G. Hu, Q. Ou, G. Si, Y. Wu, J. Wu, Z. Dai, A. Krasnok, Y. Mazor, Q. Zhang, Q. Bao, C. W. Qiu, and A. Alù, Topological polaritons and photonic magic angles in twisted α -MoO₃ bilayers, *Nature* **582**, 209 (2020).
- [23] G. Hu, A. Krasnok, Y. Mazor, C. W. Qiu, and A. Alù, Moiré Hyperbolic Metasurfaces, *Nano Letters* **20**, 3217 (2020).
- [24] P. Wang, Y. Zheng, X. Chen, C. Huang, Y. V. Kartashov, L. Torner, V. V. Konotop, and F. Ye, Localization and delocalization of light in photonic moiré lattices, *Nature* **577**, 42 (2020).
- [25] B. Lou, N. Zhao, M. Minkov, C. Guo, M. Orenstein, and S. Fan, Theory for Twisted Bilayer Photonic Crystal Slabs, *Physical Review Letters* **126**, 136101 (2021).
- [26] K. Creath and J. C. Wyant, Moiré and fringe projection techniques, in *Optical shop téting (Second Edition)*, edited by D. Malacara (Wiley, New York, 1992) second edition ed., pp. 653–685.
- [27] K. Okamoto, Chapter 4 - coupled mode theory, in *Fundamentals of Optical Waveguides (Second Edition)*, edited by K. Okamoto (Academic Press, Burlington, 2006) second edi-

- tion ed., pp. 159–207.
- [28] K. Okamoto, Chapter 2 - planar optical waveguides, in *Fundamentals of Optical Waveguides (Second Edition)*, edited by K. Okamoto (Academic Press, Burlington, 2006) second edition ed., pp. 13–55.
- [29] $U_D(x)$ is an even function due to the inversion symmetry C_2 of the grating.
- [30] N. W. Ashcroft and N. D. Mermin, *Solid State Physics* (Holt-Saunders, 1976).
- [31] See Supplemental Materials at (link) for full derivation details of the Hamiltonian models, as well as the numerical simulations corresponding to single layer and bilayer lattices.
- [32] H. Nguyen, F. Dubois, T. Deschamps, S. Cuffe, A. Pardon, J.-L. Leclercq, C. Seassal, X. Letartre, and P. Viktorovitch, Symmetry breaking in photonic crystals: On-demand dispersion from flatband to dirac cones, *Physical Review Letters* **120**, 10.1103/physrevlett.120.066102 (2018).
- [33] A. V. Rozhkov, A. O. Sboychakov, A. L. Rakhmanov, and F. Nori, Electronic properties of graphene-based bilayer systems, *Physics Reports* **648**, 1 (2016), arXiv:1511.06706.
- [34] This can be demonstrated by using $\sigma_z \sigma_{\pm} \sigma_z^{\dagger} = -\sigma_{\pm}$ and $T_{\Lambda} e^{-i\theta \sigma_z} T_{\Lambda}^{\dagger} = -e^{-i\theta \sigma_z}$.
- [35] M. G. Moharam and T. K. Gaylord, Rigorous coupled-wave analysis of metallic surface-relief gratings, *J. Opt. Soc. Am. A* **3**, 1780 (1986).
- [36] V. Liu and S. Fan, S4: A free electromagnetic solver for layered periodic structures, *Computer Physics Communications* **183**, 2233 (2012).
- [37] D. Alonso-Álvarez, T. Wilson, P. Pearce, M. Führer, D. Farrell, and N. Ekins-Daukes, Solcore: a multi-scale, python-based library for modelling solar cells and semiconductor materials, *Journal of Computational Electronics* **17**, 1099 (2018).
- [38] N is varied from 5 to 30 (If N is too small, the continuum model is not valid. If N is too big, the Brillouin zone becomes too small and bands are naturally very flat). V/U is varied from 0.5 to 2 (If V/U is too small, the approximation $L \ll a_0$ is not valid. If $V/U > 2$, the two moiré bands merge).
- [39] J. M. B. Lopes dos Santos, N. M. R. Peres, and A. H. Castro Neto, Graphene bilayer with a twist: Electronic structure, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **99**, 256802 (2007).
- [40] J. M. B. Lopes dos Santos, N. M. R. Peres, and A. H. Castro Neto, Continuum model of the twisted graphene bilayer, *Phys. Rev. B* **86**, 155449 (2012).
- [41] S. Mukherjee, A. Spracklen, D. Choudhury, N. Goldman, P. Öhberg, E. Andersson, and R. R. Thomson, Observation of a localized flat-band state in a photonic lieb lattice, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114**, 245504 (2015).
- [42] R. A. Vicencio, C. Cantillano, L. Morales-Inostroza, B. Real, C. Mejía-Cortés, S. Weimann, A. Szameit, and M. I. Molina, Observation of localized states in lieb photonic lattices, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114**, 245503 (2015).
- [43] T. Schwartz, G. Bartal, S. Fishman, and M. Segev, Transport and Anderson localization in disordered two-dimensional photonic lattices, *Nature* **446**, 52 (2007).
- [44] M. Segev, Y. Silberberg, and D. N. Christodoulides, Anderson localization of light, *Nature Photonics* **7**, 10.1038/NPHOTON.2013.30 (2013).
- [45] M. H. Naik and M. Jain, Ultraflatbands and shear solitons in moiré patterns of twisted bilayer transition metal dichalcogenides, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, 266401 (2018).
- [46] F. Wu, T. Lovorn, E. Tutuc, and A. H. MacDonald, Hubbard model physics in transition metal dichalcogenide moiré bands, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, 026402 (2018).
- [47] M. I. B. Utama, R. J. Koch, K. Lee, N. Leconte, H. Li, S. Zhao, L. Jiang, J. Zhu, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, P. D. Ashby, A. Weber-Bargioni, A. Zettl, C. Jozwiak, J. Jung, E. Rotenberg, A. Bostwick, and F. Wang, Visualization of the flat electronic band in twisted bilayer graphene near the magic angle twist, *Nature Physics* **17**, 184 (2020).
- [48] V. H. Nguyen, D. Paszko, M. Lamparski, B. V. Troeye, V. Meunier, and J. C. Charlier, Electronic localization in small-angle twisted bilayer graphene (2021), arXiv:2102.05376 [cond-mat.mes-hall].
- [49] Y. Kuno, T. Orito, and I. Ichinose, Flat-band many-body localization and ergodicity breaking in the creutz ladder, *New Journal of Physics* **22**, 013032 (2020).
- [50] D. Vanderbilt, *Berry phases in electronic structure theory* (Cambridge University Press, 2018).
- [51] A. C. Gadelha, D. A. A. Ohlberg, C. Rabelo, E. G. S. Neto, T. L. Vasconcelos, J. L. Campos, J. S. Lemos, V. Ornelas, D. Miranda, R. Nadas, F. C. Santana, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, B. van Troeye, M. Lamparski, V. Meunier, V.-H. Nguyen, D. Paszko, J.-C. Charlier, L. C. Campos, L. G. Caçado, G. Medeiros-Ribeiro, and A. Jorio, Localization of lattice dynamics in low-angle twisted bilayer graphene, *Nature* **590**, 405 (2021).
- [52] V. H. Nguyen, D. Paszko, M. Lamparski, B. V. Troeye, V. Meunier, and J. C. Charlier, Electronic localization in small-angle twisted bilayer graphene (2021), arXiv:2102.05376 [cond-mat.mes-hall].
- [53] J. H. Davies, *The physics of low-dimensional semiconductors: an introduction* (Cambridge University Press, 1998).
- [54] H. C. Nguyen, M. T. Hoang, and V. L. Nguyen, Quasi-bound states induced by one-dimensional potentials in graphene, *Phys. Rev. B* **79**, 035411 (2009).
- [55] S. Carr, S. Fang, P. Jarillo-Herrero, and E. Kaxiras, Pressure dependence of the magic twist angle in graphene superlattices, *Phys. Rev. B* **98**, 085144 (2018).
- [56] M. Yankowitz, S. Chen, H. Polshyn, Y. Zhang, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, D. Graf, A. F. Young, and C. R. Dean, Tuning superconductivity in twisted bilayer graphene, *Science* **363**, 1059 (2019), <https://science.sciencemag.org/content/363/6431/1059.full.pdf>.
- [57] D. Rivas and M. I. Molina, Seltrapping in flat band lattices with nonlinear disorder, *Scientific Reports* **10**, 5229 (2020).
- [58] V. Goblot, B. Rauer, F. Vicentini, A. Le Boité, E. Galopin, A. Lemaître, L. Le Gratiet, A. Harouri, I. Sagnes, S. Ravets, C. Ciuti, A. Amo, and J. Bloch, Nonlinear polariton fluids in a flatband reveal discrete gap solitons, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **123**, 113901 (2019).
- [59] D. Leykam, J. D. Bodyfelt, A. S. Desyatnikov, and S. Flach, Localization of weakly disordered flat band states, *The European Physical Journal B* **90**, 1 (2017).
- [60] C. Danieli, A. Andreanov, and S. Flach, Many-body flatband localization, *Phys. Rev. B* **102**, 041116 (2020).
- [61] E. Khalaf, S. Chatterjee, N. Bultinck, M. P. Zaletel, and A. Vishwanath, Charged skyrmions and topological origin of superconductivity in magic angle graphene (2021), arXiv:2004.00638 [cond-mat.str-el].
- [62] T. Giamarchi, *Quantum Physics in One Dimension* (Oxford University Press, 2003).
- [63] Y. Shuai, D. Zhao, Y. Liu, C. Stambaugh, J. Lawall, and W. Zhou, Coupled bilayer photonic crystal slab electro-optic spatial light modulators, *IEEE Photonics Journal* **9**, 1 (2017).
- [64] S. Cuffe, F. Dubois, M. S. R. Huang, D. Li, R. Zia, X. Letartre, P. Viktorovitch, and H. S. Nguyen, Tailoring the local density of optical states and directionality of light emission by symmetry breaking, *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Quantum*

- [Electronics](#) **25**, 1 (2019).
- [65] A. Othonos, Fiber bragg gratings, [Review of Scientific Instruments](#) **68**, 4309 (1997), <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1148392>.
- [66] W. C. K. Mak, P. L. Chu, and B. A. Malomed, Solitary waves in coupled nonlinear waveguides with bragg gratings, [J. Opt. Soc. Am. B](#) **15**, 1685 (1998).
- [67] T. Ahmed and J. Atai, Soliton-soliton dynamics in a dual-core system with separated nonlinearity and nonuniform Bragg grating, [Nonlinear Dynamics](#) **97**, 1515 (2019).
- [68] L. Dong, P. Hua, T. Birks, L. Reekie, and P. Russell, Novel add/drop filters for wavelength-division-multiplexing optical fiber systems using a bragg grating assisted mismatched coupler, [IEEE Photonics Technology Letters](#) **8**, 1656 (1996).
- [69] H. An, B. Ashton, and S. Fleming, Long-period-grating-assisted optical add-drop filter based on mismatched twin-core photosensitive-cladding fiber, [Opt. Lett.](#) **29**, 343 (2004).
- [70] B. J. Eggleton, R. E. Slusher, C. M. de Sterke, P. A. Krug, and J. E. Sipe, Bragg grating solitons, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](#) **76**, 1627 (1996).
- [71] Here, we ignore the coupling between the positive (negative) mode on the upper layer and the negative (positive) mode on the lower layer. This coupling includes a fast oscillation factor due to the fact that the positive mode and the negative mode have different wave vectors.
- [72] C. Rackauckas and Q. Nie, [DifferentialEquations.jl—a performant and feature-rich ecosystem for solving differential equations in julia](#), [Journal of Open Research Software](#) **5** (2017).